Role of Echocardiography in the Ventricular Assessment of the Transplanted Heart Versus Heart Rejection
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Abstract

Background: Heart transplantation is an alternative for individuals with end-stage heart disease. However, episodes of heart rejection (HR) are frequent and increase morbidity and mortality, requiring the use of an accurate non-invasive exam for their diagnosis, since endomyocardial biopsy (EMB) is not a complication-free procedure.

Objective: To compare the parameters obtained by use of Doppler echocardiography in a group of transplanted patients with HR (TX1) and another group of transplanted patients without rejection (TX0), having as reference a control group (CG) and observing the behavior of the left ventricular systo-diastolic function expressed as the myocardial performance index (MPI)

Methods: Transthoracic echocardiographies were performed from January 2006 to January 2008 to prospectively assess 47 patients divided into three groups: CG (36.2%); TX0 (38.3%); and TX1 (25.5%). The MPI was compared between the groups, and data were analyzed by use of Fisher exact test and nonparametric Kruskal-Wallis test, both with significance level of 5%.

Results: The groups did not differ regarding age, weight, height, and body surface. When compared to GC, TX0 and TX1 showed a change in the left ventricular systo-diastolic function, expressed as an increase in MPI, which was greater in TX1 [0.38 (0.29 – 0.44); 0.47 (0.43 – 0.56); 0.58 (0.52 – 0.74), respectively; p < 0.001].

Conclusion: Echocardiography was a very accurate test to detect changes in the systo-diastolic function of the transplanted heart; however, it did not prove to be reliable to replace BEM in the safe diagnosis of HR. (Arq Bras Cardiol. 2012; [online].ahead print, PP .0-0)
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Introduction

Heart failure (HF) is a clinical condition of high morbidity and mortality that affects approximately 23 million people worldwide. In Brazil, approximately 6.4 million individuals are estimated to have HF. According to the Brazilian Ministry of Health, from January to July 2008, there were 147,348 hospitalizations due to HF, with mortality rate of 8.1% (11,978 patients) and estimated expenditure of R$ 132 million; in the Brazilian state of São Paulo, that cost exceeded R$ 25 million.

The major complication of heart transplantation (HT) in its first decade was acute cellular rejection (heart rejection - HR) with elevated morbidity and mortality, and a survival rate lower than 15% per year. The difficulties for the early recognition of HR and of insufficient immunosuppression protocols were the factors that contributed most for graft loss at that time.

The beginning of the 1980s represents the beginning of the second phase of HT. It is known as the post-cyclosporine era or the era of HR control, in which an increase in the following was observed: the number of HT; and one-year and five-year survival rates to approximately 80% and 65%, respectively, according to the most recent registry of the International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation (ISHLT). However, although HR is no longer a restriction for transplantation, it still accounts for 12% of the adult deaths in the period between 30 and 360 days after HT.

In Brazil, according to the Brazilian Society of Cardiology (SBC, in Portuguese) first guideline for HT registry, the one-year and four-year survival rates are 66% and 54%, respectively. Heart rejection has accounted for 18% of the deaths in that case series of 778 patients.

Several non-invasive techniques for the diagnosis of rejection have been investigated, but, in clinical practice, none has proved to be sufficiently reliable to replace endomyocardial biopsy (EMB). However, routine biopsy is extremely inconvenient to patients, has risks, and increases costs. The strategy of performing biopsy one year after transplantation has been questioned, and attempts to reduce its frequency have been reported. However,
Echocardiography is fundamental to manage transplanted patients. In the immediate postoperative period it enables the assessment of global systolic and diastolic functions, segmentary contractility, and hemodynamic parameters of both ventricles. In addition, echocardiography provides extremely accurate information about the severity of pulmonary hypertension and the diagnosis of valvular or pericardial changes, being ideal for follow-up and serial assessments. Echocardiography has advantages over EMB because it is less expensive, not restricted to large centers, rapidly performed, and has no complications. However, it cannot diagnose the type of rejection and requires properly trained professionals.

Objective

The objective of this study was to compare Doppler echocardiographic parameters in transplanted patients with HR (TX1) and without HR (TX0), using a control group (CG) as reference, and assessing the behavior of the left ventricular systolic-diastolic function expressed by use of myocardial performance index (MPI).

Methods

From January 2006 to January 2008, patients who had undergone orthotopic HT according to the bicaval bipulmonary technique were assessed. They were recruited during hospitalization at the Real e Benemérita Associação Portuguesa de Beneficência de São Paulo, in the city of São Paulo, and were referred to EMB, which is the reference standard for the diagnosis of HR, at the Integrated Pathology Center of that hospital. All patients provided written informed consent, and the study was approved by the Ethics Committee in Research (nº 376-08) on 5/28/2008.

The patients used the triple regimen consisting of corticosteroids, a calcineurin inhibitor and antiproliferative drugs as follows: prednisolone (1 mg/kg/day); mycophenolate mofetil (500 mg, every 12 h); and cyclosporine (2 mg/kg/day). Some patients used azathioprine and methotrexate.

The inclusion criteria were as follows: patients with orthotopic HT due to cardiomyopathy of any etiology, at least seven days after surgery; age greater than 18 years; echocardiography performed at least seven days after EMB; and regular heart rhythm. Patients with the following characteristics were excluded: echocardiographic window technically inadequate to the satisfactory quality of the test; irregular heart rhythm; cardiac pacemaker (PM); EMB with insufficient material or inconclusive diagnosis; and fever at the time of the test.

The recipients had no information about the donors or their families.

Study design

This was a prospective study, controlled with a group of healthy individuals. All transplanted patients underwent echocardiography and EMB, and the researchers involved in each of the two techniques knew nothing about the results of the other. The echocardiographic findings were correlated with the results of the EMB, considering the presence or absence of HR.

The CG consisted of healthy asymptomatic volunteers, with normal clinical exam, resting electrocardiography (ECG) and Doppler echocardiography, and history of neither cardiovascular nor systemic disease. The group of transplanted patients was subdivided into transplanted patients with HR (TX1) and without HR (TX0). The demographic data analyzed were as follows: sex (men, women); and color (white, mixed, and black). The groups had the same distribution of age, weight, height, and body surface (BS).

Clinical assessment

At the time EMB was indicated, the patients underwent anamnesis and clinical examination, and were classified according to New York Heart Association (NYHA) functional class to quantify the extension of HF, by use of the functional assessment regarding physical activity. The etiology of the cardiomyopathy was investigated for HT indication.

Right ventricular endomyocardial biopsy

The EMBs were performed one day after echocardiography, via internal jugular vein puncture with radioscopic monitoring. Fragments of ventricular myocardium were obtained, sent to anatomical-pathological study by an experienced pathologist, and immediately reviewed by another pathologist, who ignored the report of the first pathologist. If the results disagreed, the samples would be sent to analysis of concordance by a third professional, which, however, was not necessary.

Cellular rejection was graded according to the ISHLT criteria as follows: grade 0 = no rejection; grade IA = focal (perivascular or interstitial) infiltrate with no myocyte damage; grade IB = diffuse but sparse infiltrate with no myocyte damage; grade II = one focus only with aggressive infiltrates and/or myocyte damage; grade IIIA = multifocal aggressive infiltrates and/or myocyte damage; grade IIIB = diffuse inflammatory process with myocyte necrosis; and grade IV = diffuse aggressive polymorphous infiltrate with hemorrhage and myocyte necrosis. The biopsy specimens were considered adequate when at least three myocardial fragments were obtained for optical microscopy analysis, after formalin fixation and slide staining with hematoxylin and eosin. After sheath withdrawal, hemostasis was obtained with local compression.

Echocardiographic assessment

Echocardiography was performed with the Toshiba Nemio 30 Ultrasound System (Tatara-Shi, Tochigi, Japan), equipped with a 2.5- to 5.0-MHz multifrequency transducer. The patients were positioned in a left lateral decubitus for image acquisition in the parasternal and apical views. During the exam, heart rhythm and frequency were monitored by use of an electrocardiographic lead. All heart structures were measured according to the recommendations of the American Society of Echocardiography (ASE). The exams were performed by an observer and immediately reviewed by another, who was blind to the first assessment.
to exclude intra- and interobserver variability. If the results disagreed, the exam would be performed by a third observer for concordance analysis. The echocardiographers were the same during the entire study, were highly experienced, with SBC level III training. Three measurements for each echocardiographic variable were obtained, and their arithmetic mean was used for analysis.

The variables assessed were as follows: left ventricular diastolic diameter (LVDD); left ventricular diastolic volume (LVDV); interventricular septum thickness (IVST); left ventricular posterior wall thickness (LVPWT); relative wall thickness (RWT); ventricular mass indexed to body surface (VM/bs); left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF); E wave deceleration time (EWDT); isovolumetric relaxation time (IVRT); ratio between the early and late ventricular filling velocities (E/A); peak early diastolic velocity on tissue Doppler echocardiography (E'); ratio between the early diastolic velocity of mitral flow and early diastolic velocity on tissue Doppler echocardiography (mitE/E'); left ventricular systole (LVS); left ventricular ejection time (LVET); and MPI.

**Myocardial performance index**

A new index for assessing the ventricular systo-diastolic function has been recently proposed, the MPI. Obtained by using Doppler echocardiography, and combining systolic and diastolic intervals of the cardiac cycle, the MPI value is lower than 0.39 ± 0.05 in normal individuals, and increases as left ventricular function worsens (Figure 1). That index allows assessing ventricular function at rest or during pharmacological stress.

The MPI does not require the analysis of ventricular borders, which is indispensable for calculating EF. Its measurement depends only on transmitral and transaortic flows, consisting in adding the left ventricular isovolumetric relaxation and contraction times, divided by LVET. It is adequate for diagnosing global cardiac dysfunction, because the greater its numerical value, the greater the grade of left ventricular dysfunction and worse the patient’s clinical status, being considered an indicator of high risk for congestive HF and death due to cardiac cause.

The MPI seems to be independent of the geometry of the chamber, of changes in heart rate or blood pressure, and of the severity of mitral regurgitation, although it depends partially on variations in filling. The MPI is a reproducible method that closely correlates with invasive measures of systolic and diastolic functions.

To determine mitral transvalvular flow, the volume sample was positioned at the level of the extremities of the mitral cusps, and a biphase pattern (above baseline) was obtained. The beginning of the flow reflects mitral valve opening, the first wave corresponding to the rapid ventricular filling phase, and the second wave corresponding to atrial contraction. To determine aortic transvalvular flow, the volume sample was positioned immediately below the valvular plane, and a monophasic pattern (below baseline), corresponding to ventricular ejection, was obtained.

- left ventricular systole (a) - interval between the end of the second diastolic wave and the beginning of the first diastolic wave in mitral transvalvular flow.
- left ventricular ejection time (b) - interval between the beginning and end of the wave in aortic transvalvular flow.

**Statistical analysis**

Data analysis was performed by using the SPSS (Statistical Package for Social Sciences) software for Windows, version 12, and R: A language and environment for statistical computing.
All tests were performed considering bilateral hypotheses and adopting the significance level of \( \alpha = 5\% \).

Initially, descriptive statistics was used to assess the frequency, median and interquartile interval of the variables studied. Quantitative data were shown as median and interquartile interval. Qualitative data were shown as frequencies.

Fisher exact test was used to assess the homogeneity of the proportions of men and women and of white and black/mixed individuals in the groups CG, TX0 and TX1. The nonparametric test was used, because the requirements for the parametric test were not met.

The medians of the variables age, height, weight, and BS in the three groups were compared by use of the Kruskal-Wallis test, and, in the presence of a statistically significant difference, that test was followed by the multiple comparison test to identify which groups differed. A nonparametric test was also used, because those variables had no normal distribution, and the normality of the data was tested by use of the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test.

In our sample, the proportion between women and men in groups CG, TX0 and TX1 differed. Thus, the groups were not homogeneous regarding sex. The same did not apply to the variable ethnicity, according to which the groups were homogeneous (Table 1).

In addition, the groups did not statistically differ regarding age, weight, height, and BS, being thus similar (Table 2).

At the time of EMB, of the TX0 patients, 15 were NYHA functional class II and three were NYHA functional class III; of the TX1 patients, two were NYHA functional class I, nine were NYHA functional class II, and one was NYHA functional class III (Chart 1). Of the 30 transplanted patients studied, HR was as follows: no HR, 18 patients (60%); grade IA HR, four patients (13.33%); grade IB HR, six patients (20%); and grade II HR, two patients (6.66%).

The most frequent etiologies of heart disease with HT indication were as follows: idiopathic dilated cardiomyopathy (31%); Chagas cardiomyopathy (29%); ischemic cardiomyopathy (25%); valvular heart disease (7%); restrictive cardiomyopathy (4%); and undetermined cause (4%).

**Results**

This study’s sample comprised 47 patients as follows: 17 (36.2%) healthy individuals (CG); and 30 transplanted individuals, 18 (38.3%) in the TX0 group and 12 (25.50%) in the TX1 group.

Of the transplanted patients, six were excluded from the study and not considered in the statistical analysis as follows: one due to technically inadequate echocardiographic window; two due irregular heart rhythm; one due to the presence of PM; and two due to insufficient EMB material.

Some echocardiographic variables were also compared (Table 3).

Aiming at assessing the left ventricular systo-diastolic function by use of MPI, the LVS and the LVET of the cardiac cycle were assessed (Table 4).

A statistically significant difference was observed in the medians of the variables IVST, LVPWT, VM/bs, RWT and LVEF in the three groups as follows: the medians of the variables IVST, LVPWT, and VM/bs were lower in the CG than those in the TX0; the median of the variable LVEF was greater in the CG than that in the TX0.

Regarding the variable RWT, its median in the CG was lower than those in the TX1 and TX0.

A statistically significant difference was also observed in the medians of the variables E', EWDT and E/A in the three groups as follows: the median of the variable E' was greater in the CG than that in the TX0; the median of the variable E/A was lower in the CG than those in the TX1 and TX0.

Regarding specifically the calculation of MPI, there was a statistically significant difference in the medians of the variables LVS, LVET and MPI between the three groups as follows: the median of the variable LVS was greater in CG than that in TX0; the median of the variable LVET was greater in CG than those in TX0 and TX1; the median of the variable MPI was lower in CG than those in TX0 and TX1. However, no difference in the medians of the two groups of transplanted patients was observed (Chart 2).

Assessing only the groups of transplanted patients, 40% had rejection and 60%, no rejection. Regarding the TX1, HR according to the ISHLT criteria was as follows: grade IB, 50%; grade IA, 33.33%; and grade II, 16.66%. No other HR grade was observed on EMB.

**Discussion**

Since the first HT was performed in South Africa in 1967, advances have provided longer survival to transplanted patients. The major improvement was the introduction, in the 1980s, of the immunosuppressive agent cyclosporine, which has boosted the interest in restarting the large-scale HT program, today limited due especially to the scarcity of donors available.

In the era of cyclosporine, rejection began to cause less myocardial edema, and, thus, measuring left ventricular mass has become obsolete as an isolated criterion to assess HR. Our study has shown greater medians of IVST, LVPWT, and VM/bs for transplanted patients as compared with those in the CG below the limits established for ventricular hypertrophy; however, no statistical significance was observed for the median of LVDD and for the calculation of LVDD, allowing us to infer that the increase in ventricular mass in transplanted patients occurs due to ventricular wall thickening.

Left ventricular diastolic dysfunction can be assessed by use of E/A, EWDT, IVRT and E'.

In our case series, no statistically significant difference was observed between the medians of the CG, TX0 and TX1 regarding the analysis of IVRT; however, some statistically significant change in diastolic function could be identified, by comparing the medians and the interquartile intervals of the variables E', EWDT and E/A between the three groups of patients.

Changes in diastolic function after HT are well known. The findings of this study are in accordance with those of the literature, which have evidenced a pattern of restrictive and partially reversible physiology in the first weeks after graft implantation.
Table 1 – Frequency and percentage of patients in the groups according to sex and ethnicity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>GC</th>
<th>TX0</th>
<th>TX1</th>
<th>p-value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N (% )</td>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>8 (47.1)</td>
<td>1 (5.6)</td>
<td>2 (16.7)</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>9 (52.9)</td>
<td>17 (94.4)</td>
<td>10 (83.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>15 (88.2)</td>
<td>16 (88.9)</td>
<td>12 (100.0)</td>
<td>0.660</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black/Mixed</td>
<td>2 (11.8)</td>
<td>2 (11.1)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Fisher exact test; CG: control group; TX0: transplanted patients without rejection; TX1: transplanted patients with rejection.

Table 2 – Comparison between the groups of the medians, interquartile intervals and p-values of the personal variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>CG</th>
<th>TX0</th>
<th>TX1</th>
<th>p-value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Median (IQR)</td>
<td>Median (IQR)</td>
<td>Median (IQR)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>34.0 (29.5 – 44.0)</td>
<td>46.0 (33.5 – 51.5)</td>
<td>39.0 (32.5 – 54.5)</td>
<td>0.354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>70.0 (57.5 – 85.0)</td>
<td>66.5 (57.5 – 88.0)</td>
<td>61.0 (57.5 – 73.5)</td>
<td>0.661</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (m)</td>
<td>1.65 (1.59 – 1.73)</td>
<td>1.66 (1.64 – 1.70)</td>
<td>1.69 (1.64 – 1.72)</td>
<td>0.821</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS (m²)</td>
<td>1.84 (1.58 – 1.96)</td>
<td>1.75 (1.62 – 2.03)</td>
<td>1.69 (1.63 – 1.88)</td>
<td>0.758</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Kruskal-Wallis test; CG: control group; TX0: transplanted patients without rejection; TX1: transplanted patients with rejection; IQR: interquartile interval (1st quartile – 3rd quartile); BS: body surface.

Chart 1 – Distribution of the 30 transplanted patients according to NYHA functional class (I, II and III) and presence of heart rejection. TX0: transplanted patients without rejection; TX1: transplanted patients with rejection.
Assessing diastolic function is more sensitive in detecting rejection, because, according to the literature, diastolic changes precede any evidence of systolic dysfunction. This is in accordance with data from this case series, whose medians of global left ventricular systolic function were increased (LVEF > 50%) in all groups assessed.

Episodes of rejection are frequent after HT, and, when repeated, can cause fibrosis and ventricular cavity changes. By use of dobutamine, Bellotti et al. have reported normal contractility in transplanted patients with no rejection; however, in the presence of rejection, contractility was reduced, suggesting that dobutamine echocardiography could aid in correlating with episodes of rejection.

The accuracy of MPI and its comparison with the method considered gold standard for quantifying EF have been studied by Lax et al., who have developed the formula, EF = 60 - (34 x MPI), transforming MPI into a widely known variable among clinicians.

In recent years, a lot of effort has been put into discovering a non-invasive technique to replace EMB in patients undergoing HT. Because systolic and diastolic dysfunctions are present during episodes of HR, the Tei index has been investigated as a potential predictor of acute rejection.

In our case series, MPI was greater in TX0 and in TX1 than in CG, both with statistical significance. In addition, MPI was greater in TX1 than in TX0, suggesting a possible worsening of contractility reserve.

Table 3 – Echocardiographic characteristics of the patients studied

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>CG</th>
<th>TX0</th>
<th>TX1</th>
<th>p-value*</th>
<th>Post Hoc*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LVDD (mm)</td>
<td>48.0 (44.0 – 50.0)</td>
<td>45.3 (42.7 – 47.3)</td>
<td>46.0 (40.2 – 51.8)</td>
<td>0.344</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVODV (ml)</td>
<td>107.5 (87.6 – 118.2)</td>
<td>93.9 (81.9 – 105.5)</td>
<td>97.4 (71.0 – 128.7)</td>
<td>0.344</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IVST (mm)</td>
<td>8.0 (7.5 – 9.0)</td>
<td>10.7 (8.3 – 12.0)</td>
<td>9.0 (8.0 – 11.7)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>CG = TXo, CG = TX1, TXo = TX1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVPWT (mm)</td>
<td>8.0 (7.5 – 8.5)</td>
<td>10.4 (8.3 – 11.0)</td>
<td>9.5 (7.5 – 11.7)</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>CG = TXo, CG = TX1, TXo = TX1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RWT</td>
<td>0.32 (0.31 – 0.34)</td>
<td>0.46 (0.36 – 0.50)</td>
<td>0.42 (0.36 – 0.46)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>CG = TXo, CG = TX1, TXo = TX1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VM/bs (g/m²)</td>
<td>89.05 (70.9 – 101.2)</td>
<td>116.6 (90.8 – 120.8)</td>
<td>102.3 (84.2 – 149.8)</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>CG = TXo, CG = TX1, TXo = TX1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEF (%)</td>
<td>61.0 (58.0 – 64.0)</td>
<td>55.5 (40.5 – 60.2)</td>
<td>55.5 (51.0 – 62.2)</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>CG = TXo, CG = TX1, TXo = TX1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EWDT (ms)</td>
<td>206.0 (184.0 – 240.0)</td>
<td>180.0 (104.0 – 216.0)</td>
<td>167.5 (126.2 – 190.7)</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>CG = TXo, CG = TX1, TXo = TX1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IVRT (ms)</td>
<td>104.0 (88.0 – 116.0)</td>
<td>96.0 (80.0 – 107.2)</td>
<td>91.0 (80.0 – 101.0)</td>
<td>0.209</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E/A (cm/s)</td>
<td>1.46 (1.20 – 1.79)</td>
<td>1.86 (1.57 – 3.14)</td>
<td>2.23 (2.16 – 3.00)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>CG = TXo, CG = TX1, TXo = TX1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E’ (cm/s)</td>
<td>17.0 (14.6 – 17.7)</td>
<td>13.0 (11.1 – 15.3)</td>
<td>15.6 (11.3 – 16.2)</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>CG = TXo, CG = TX1, TXo = TX1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mit E/E’</td>
<td>4.3 (3.7 – 5.3)</td>
<td>4.7 (4.3 – 6.1)</td>
<td>5.3 (4.0 – 6.7)</td>
<td>0.283</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Kruskal-Wallis test; IQI: interquartile interval (1st quartile – 3rd quartile); CG: control group; TX0: transplanted patients without rejection; TX1: transplanted patients with rejection; LVDD: left ventricular diastolic diameter; LVODV: left ventricular diastolic volume; LVPWT: left ventricular posterior wall thickness; RWT: relative wall thickness; VM/bs: ventricular mass indexed to body surface; LVEF: left ventricular ejection fraction; EWDT: E wave deceleration time; IVRT: isovolumetric relaxation time; E/A: ratio between the peak early to the peak atrial mitral inflow velocities; E’: peak early diastolic velocity on tissue Doppler; mit E/E’: ratio between the early diastolic velocity of mitral flow and early diastolic velocity on tissue Doppler.
Table 4 – Evaluation of the systolic interval and the ventricular ejection time in the cardiac cycle

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>GC</th>
<th>TX0</th>
<th>TX1</th>
<th>p-value*</th>
<th>Post Hoc*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Median (IQI)</td>
<td>Median (IQI)</td>
<td>Median (IQI)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVS</td>
<td>416.0 (397.5 – 426.5)</td>
<td>384.0 (340.7 – 400.0)</td>
<td>406.6 (360.0 – 480.2)</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>GC = Tx0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GC = Tx1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tx0 ≠ Tx1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVET</td>
<td>298.0 (285.0 – 312.0)</td>
<td>246.6 (230.7 – 277.7)</td>
<td>245.0 (218.0 – 267.6)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>GC = Tx0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GC = Tx1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tx0 ≠ Tx1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPI</td>
<td>0.38 (0.29 – 0.44)</td>
<td>0.47 (0.43 – 0.56)</td>
<td>0.58 (0.52 – 0.74)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>GC = Tx0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GC = Tx1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tx0 ≠ Tx1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MPI calculation; *Kruskal-Wallis test; IQI: interquartile interval (1st quartile – 3rd quartile); CG: control group; TX0: transplanted patients without rejection; TX1: transplanted patients with rejection; LVS: left ventricular systole; LVET: left ventricular ejection time; MPI: myocardial performance index

Chart 2 – Top: Box plot of the variables used to calculate the myocardial performance index. Left: box plot of the left ventricular systole (LVS) (p = 0.008), and right: box plot of the left ventricular ejection time (LVET) (p < 0.001) of control patients, and transplanted patients without rejection (TX0) and transplanted patients with rejection (TX1). Bottom: Box plot of the already analyzed myocardial performance index. TX0: transplanted patients without rejection. TX1: transplanted patients with rejection (p < 0.001)
A possible explanation for the increased values of MPI lies in the fact that the LVET is shorter in transplanted patients, and much shorter in the presence of HR, because of the pathophysiology of the graft, with no significant change in the IVRT, corroborating the findings of the study by Toumanidis et al. in 2008.32 The good correlation between echocardiographic changes and EMB results have suggested that Doppler echocardiography should be used as a first choice technique to aid in the non-invasive diagnosis of HR.33

Conclusion

It has been shown that MPI is increased in transplanted patients as compared with a control group, and no significant difference was observed between the two groups of transplanted patients. Echocardiography showed good accuracy to detect changes in the systo-diastolic function of the transplanted heart; however, it did not prove to be reliable to replace BEM in the safe diagnosis of HR.
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