Home Blood Pressure Monitoring as an Alternative to Confirm Diagnoses of Hypertension in Adolescents with Elevated Office Blood Pressure from a Brazilian State Capital
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Abstract

Background: Regional differences of using home blood pressure monitoring (HBPM) as an alternative to ambulatory blood pressure monitoring (ABPM) in hypertensive adolescents are unknown.

Objectives: Define if HBPM is an option to confirm diagnoses of hypertension in adolescents from a Brazilian capital with elevated office blood pressure (BP).

Methods: Adolescents (12-18 years) from public and private schools with BP > 90th percentile were studied to compare and evaluate the agreement among office BP measurements, HBPM and ambulatory BP monitoring. Office BP measurements, HBPM and ABPM were performed according to guidelines recommendations. Semi-automatic devices were used for BP measurements. Values of p < 0.05 were considered significant.

Results: We included 133 predominantly males (63.2%) adolescents with a mean age of 15 ± 1.6 years. HBPM systolic blood pressure and diastolic blood pressure mean values were similar to the daytime ABPM values (120.3 ± 12.6 mmHg x 121.5 ± 9.8 mmHg – p = 0.111 and 69.4 ± 7.7 mmHg x 70.2 ± 6.6 mmHg – p = 0.139) and lower than the office measurement values (127.3 ± 13.8 mmHg) over 74.4 ± 9.5 mmHg – p < 0.001). The Bland-Altman plots showed good agreement between HBPM and ABPM.

Conclusions: HBPM is an option to confirm diagnoses of hypertension in adolescents from a Brazilian state capital with elevated office blood pressure and can be used as an alternative to ABPM.
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Introduction

Primary hypertension (HT) is no longer regarded as a rare phenomenon in childhood and adolescence. It is strongly related to obesity, a condition that continues to increase in young population, therefore HT prevalence will continue to grow among them. Blood pressure (BP) values are important markers in the evaluation of cardiovascular risk in adults, however, for children and teenagers there is scarce information regarding different BP measurement methods, and only in the last decade the interest in this subject has increased.

In Brazil, although many studies have assessed the prevalence of high blood pressure in adolescents in recent years, differences in measurement techniques and normalcy criteria according to regional differences make it difficult to know the actual prevalence. A systematic review of the literature found the prevalence ranging from 2.5 to 30.9%. The national representative ERICA study, evaluated 73,399 adolescents and identified a 9.6% prevalence of hypertension (values above the 95th percentile).

Investigate the viability and reliability of BP evaluation methods is necessary and contributes to clinical practice. For diagnosis, office BP measurements rank as the most common method and have a prognostic meaning for cardiovascular risk in adults. Nevertheless, BP values vary due to physiological and environmental stimulation, which indicates that a more accurate determination of BP values is needed. Identifying such variability may lead to more precise risk stratification, thus allowing early interventions initiatives.

Taking multiple BP measurements within a short time period improves the reproducibility and increases the chances of obtaining accurate BP values. This repetition of measurements is possible with various BP monitoring methods, including ambulatory BP monitoring (ABPM), in which dozens of measurements are performed over a
24-hour period and is considered the gold standard, or home BP monitoring (HBPM), in which some measurements are performed over a few days throughout the week. The use of ABPM has limitations due to its higher costs, on the other hand HBPM which may be a potential diagnostic alternative, needs more investigation when used in adolescents, particularly considering regional differences. HBPM shows good viability if performed by the adolescents themselves or by a responsible adult with semi-automatic equipment and a specific protocol.

The most common indication to use ABPM and HBPM in this particular subset of patients is for white coat hypertension (WCHT) diagnose, characterized by office BP measurement increased despite normal HBPM or ABPM values. Another indication is to detect masked hypertension, in which normal office BP is identified in patients with elevated HBPM or ABPM values.

To increase scientific knowledge regarding BP measurement methods for adolescents considering regional differences, the objective of this study was to compare BP values obtained from office measurements, HBPM and ABPM and to evaluate the agreement among these methods.

Methods
This was a cross-sectional study approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the institution (Register: 017/2010).

Subjects
Adolescents aged between 12 and 18 years with altered BP (> 90th percentile for the respective age, gender and height) were identified by office measurement from a sample of 1025 young students from 26 schools. This was a representative sample of adolescents from a large city (1,302,001 inhabitants) in the Midwest of Brazil. Additionally, 33 normotensive adolescents were included. All subjects had an informed consent signed by their parents or legal guardians. The exclusion criteria were: physical handicap; pregnancy; chronic diseases (diabetes mellitus, kidney or heart disease); use of anti-hypertensive, antidepressants, anxiolytics, steroidal or non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and contraceptives; and absence of sexual maturation (subjects with Tanner stages = 1).

Anthropometric evaluation
The anthropometric evaluation was performed using the standardization suggested by the World Health Organization. The measured variables were body weight, height and waist circumference. In addition, the body mass index (BMI) was calculated.

Blood pressure measurements
Office measurement
Office measurements were performed by trained health professionals, based on the 4th Task Force Technique. The procedure took place at the schools, in two different moments (one-week interval) and with two measurements (with a three-minute interval) at each time point. For the analysis, the mean of the second measurements was considered. We utilized OMRON, model HEM-705CP semi-automatic equipment, which was validated for use with adolescents, and cuffs in three different sizes (9x16 cm, 13x23 cm and 15x30 cm) were selected according to the adolescent’s right arm circumference (80 to 100%).

Home Monitoring (HBPM)
The same equipment, cuffs and techniques that were used for the office measurements were used for HBPM. Adolescents received the device at school and were told to perform two measurements (with three-minute intervals) during the day (between 06:00 and 10:00 a.m.) and two at night (between 06:00 and 10:00 p.m.) over 6 days, for a total of 24 readings. The overall mean value was considered for analysis.

Ambulatory Monitoring (ABPM)
A Spacelabs® device model 90207 was used. The cuff size was the same of the office measurement and HBPM, and the exam was performed based on the American Heart Association technique. The equipment was programmed to perform one measurement every 15 minutes during the day (07:00 to 23:00) and one measurement every 20 minutes at night (11:00 p.m. to 07:00 a.m.). The adolescents were instructed to keep their arms relaxed during inflation/deflation and to return after 24 hours of monitoring with a report containing their primary activities during that period. Records in which at least 70% of the measurements were valid were accepted, and for the analysis, the mean of daytime obtained values was considered.

Statistical analysis
Data were entered in duplicate and validated with Epi-Info (version 3.5.1), and the statistical analysis was performed with SPSS software (version 20.0; IBM Chicago, USA). The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used for data distribution evaluation and the paired Student’s t test for the comparison of systolic and diastolic pressure values between the methods. The continuous variables with normal distribution are presented as means and standard deviations. Pearson’s correlation coefficient was used to evaluate the correlation between the blood pressure measurements. Values of p < 0.05 were considered significant. We generated Bland-Altman plots to provide a visualization of the agreement between the measurements and a “mountain plot” to provide information about the distribution of differences between the methods. The ABPM method (daytime measurement) was subtracted from the other methods to obtain the mountain plots. The Bland-Altman and mountain plots were produced using Medcalc software (Version 12.7.0).

Results
Among the 143 adolescents invited to participate the study, 133 (93%) accepted and 10 (7.0%) declined. No subject received the device at school and were told to perform two measurements (with three-minute intervals) during the day (between 06:00 and 10:00 a.m.) and two at night (between 06:00 and 10:00 p.m.) over 6 days, for a total of 24 readings. The overall mean value was considered for analysis.

Ambulatory Monitoring (ABPM)
A Spacelabs® device model 90207 was used. The cuff size was the same of the office measurement and HBPM, and the exam was performed based on the American Heart Association technique. The equipment was programmed to perform one measurement every 15 minutes during the day (07:00 to 23:00) and one measurement every 20 minutes at night (11:00 p.m. to 07:00 a.m.). The adolescents were instructed to keep their arms relaxed during inflation/deflation and to return after 24 hours of monitoring with a report containing their primary activities during that period. Records in which at least 70% of the measurements were valid were accepted, and for the analysis, the mean of daytime obtained values was considered.

Statistical analysis
Data were entered in duplicate and validated with Epi-Info (version 3.5.1), and the statistical analysis was performed with SPSS software (version 20.0; IBM Chicago, USA). The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used for data distribution evaluation and the paired Student’s t test for the comparison of systolic and diastolic pressure values between the methods. The continuous variables with normal distribution are presented as means and standard deviations. Pearson’s correlation coefficient was used to evaluate the correlation between the blood pressure measurements. Values of p < 0.05 were considered significant. We generated Bland-Altman plots to provide a visualization of the agreement between the measurements and a “mountain plot” to provide information about the distribution of differences between the methods. The ABPM method (daytime measurement) was subtracted from the other methods to obtain the mountain plots. The Bland-Altman and mountain plots were produced using Medcalc software (Version 12.7.0).

Results
Among the 143 adolescents invited to participate the study, 133 (93%) accepted and 10 (7.0%) declined. No subject
was excluded due to sexual maturation criteria. The final sample was composed of 133 adolescents, including 100 with altered BP and 33 normotensives. Overall, 63.2% were male with a mean age of 15 (± 1.6) years. Table 1 presents the sample characteristics.

HBPM presented mean SBP and DBP values that were similar to the daytime ABPM values and lower than the office measurement values. Office measurement presented higher mean values than those observed for daytime ABPM, and the correlation among the methods was moderate (Table 2).

The overall mean of 24-hour ABPM BP was 118.3 ± 9.1 mmHg for SBP and 66.4 ± 6.0 mmHg for DBP, which were significantly different than the overall mean of HBPM (SBP, p = 0.009; DBP, p < 0.001) and the office measurement (p<0.001 for SBP and DBP). A strong correlation (r = 0.72, p < 0.001) was found between SBP from 24-hour ABPM and HBPM, whereas a slight correlation (r = 0.39, p = 0.005) was found for DBP. There was also a correlation between the 24-hour ABPM and office measurement values (r = 0.57 for SBP and r = 0.24 for DBP; both with p < 0.001).

According to the Bland-Altman graphs, agreement was verified (and no systematic errors were identified) between HBPM and daytime ABPM for SBP and DBP (Figure 1-A); the means of the differences plotted in the central horizontal lines were close to zero (1.3 mmHg for SBP and 0.9 mmHg for DBP). Both daytime ABPM and HBPM agreed with the office measurement values; however, the magnitude was lower: daytime ABPM vs. office, difference in the means of 5.8 mmHg for SBP and 4.1 mmHg for DBP (Figure 1-B); HBPM vs. office, difference in the means of 7.0 mmHg for SBP and 5.0 mmHg for DBP (Figure 1-C).

From the mountain plots (Figure 2), with daytime ABPM as the reference (axis X), the differences between HBPM and ABPM were generally lower than those observed between the office measurement and daytime ABPM.

### Discussion

This study provides initial information regarding the utilization of HBPM in a Brazilian sample composed exclusively of adolescents, mostly with BP levels higher than normal values. We have identified results similar to those in adults, for whom office measurements present higher values than HBPM and ABPM for both SBP and DBP. The same phenomenon has already been identified in other studies, in hypertensive children and adolescents but only with SBP. In contrast to our results, office measurements were similar to HBPM for subjects over 12 years old according to Stergiou et al., who examined a larger sample (n = 765); however, that study only observed normotensive children and adolescents. There is evidence that the population type (hypertensive vs. normotensives) interferes with the results obtained by office measurement or HBPM.

Another important aspect of BP measurement is the equipment type, and in most studies, the oscillometric method was used. Moreover, analyzing the HBPM protocol is relevant because, currently, there is no consensus on the minimum number of measurements required for pediatric populations.

### Table 1 – Sample characteristics (n = 133)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>15.0 ± 1.6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body weight (kg)</td>
<td>65.5 ± 16.3</td>
<td>37.9</td>
<td>131.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>167.0 ± 7.8</td>
<td>149.0</td>
<td>185.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>23.2 ± 4.8</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>42.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC (cm)</td>
<td>75.5 ± 10.9</td>
<td>58.0</td>
<td>120.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI: body mass index; WC: waist circumference.

### Table 2 – Comparison and correlation among office, home and ambulatory BP measurements (n = 133)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BP Type</th>
<th>Daytime ABPM</th>
<th>HBPM</th>
<th>p value*</th>
<th>r (p value)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SBP</td>
<td>121.5 ± 9.8</td>
<td>120.3 ± 12.6</td>
<td>0.111</td>
<td>0.70 (&lt; 0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP</td>
<td>70.2 ± 6.6</td>
<td>69.4 ± 7.7</td>
<td>0.139</td>
<td>0.60 (&lt; 0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daytime ABPM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP</td>
<td>121.5 ± 9.8</td>
<td>127.3 ± 13.8</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>0.60 (&lt; 0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP</td>
<td>70.2 ± 6.6</td>
<td>74.4 ± 9.5</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>0.45 (&lt; 0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBPM</td>
<td></td>
<td>127.3 ± 13.8</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>0.75 (&lt; 0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP</td>
<td>120.3 ± 12.6</td>
<td>74.4 ± 9.5</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>0.53 (&lt; 0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP</td>
<td>69.4 ± 7.7</td>
<td>74.4 ± 9.5</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>0.53 (&lt; 0.001)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values expressed as the mean ± standard deviation. SBP: systolic blood pressure (mmHg); DBP: diastolic blood pressure (mmHg). * paired Student’s t test. r- Pearson’s correlation test.
In the present study, we used a total of 24 measurements (with a minimum of 12 measurements) over 6 days, whereas Stergiou et al.²⁶ opted for a 12-measurement protocol (with a minimum of 2 measurements) over 3 days. This lower number of measurements in HBPM may have contributed to its agreement with the office measurements.
Some studies have shown lower HBPM values than daytime ABPM in children and adolescents, which may be explained by the high physical activity levels during childhood, which can increase BP values.

In this study, the result was different, as the BP values measured by HBPM were similar to those obtained by daytime ABPM, which is a commonly observed pattern for adults. This finding is probably related to the fact that the sample consists only of adolescents, who have lower levels of physical activity during the day when compared to children.

Regarding the agreement among methods, a significant number of the studies used the correlation coefficient as an agreement indicator; however, the intrinsic variability of BP renders this index, by itself, inappropriate and requires a variability analysis among measures, such as that accomplished by Bland-Altman plots. The strength of a correlation between two variables does not necessarily indicate agreement between them. In this study, we showed that the correlation among the three methods was moderate; however, using Bland-Altman plots, we verified that there was no systematic error among the three methods, particularly between HBPM and daytime ABPM, which showed a difference of zero between the means of the systolic and diastolic pressures. This finding suggests that HBPM may be used as a substitute for ABPM when necessary. Nevertheless, because ABPM is the gold standard, it is still considered the first choice for confirming a diagnosis after detection of high BP by office measurements.

In adults, HBPM shows better reliability and agreement with ABPM than office measurement. In adolescents, we observed a similar phenomenon, which has also been verified in other children and teenage populations, in which HBPM presents better reproducibility than office measurements.

Figure 2 – Mountain plots for agreement between (A) systolic blood pressure (SBP) and (B) diastolic blood pressure (DBP) determined by daytime ABPM (reference) and measured by HBPM and office measurement.
The differences between office measurements and the other methods may result in the overestimation of BP values and, consequently, label adolescents as hypertensive when they are actually normotensive. When there is no diagnostic confirmation with other types of evaluation such as HBPM or ABPM, adolescents may be misdiagnosed, with all its social and economic consequences, and even engage in unnecessary treatment by taking medicine. For example, in a study by Hornsby et al., 44% of the children evaluated as hypertensive by office measurements were reclassified and considered as white coat hypertensive after ABPM.

It has been suggested that office measurements must be a screening method for adolescents and for those who present SBP or DBP values in the > 90th percentile an out-of-office blood pressure method must be performed to confirm the diagnosis. ABPM is the preferred option and HBPM an alternative.1,27

HBPM is more comfortable, easy to perform and has a lower cost than ABPM. In this study, daytime ABPM was similar to HBPM. Therefore, HBPM represents an acceptable alternative for a more accurate diagnosis. Nevertheless, when available and financially viable, ABPM should be the first option because it provides a more comprehensive evaluation.

This study was limited by the use of normal values of office measurements proposed for the American population,3 as Brazilian studies proposing normal values for adolescents are lacking in the literature. A similar limitation for the HBPM use exists, since the normalcy data for adolescents is based on one study conducted with European students.26

Another potential limitation was the inclusion of adolescents enrolled in schools, which excluded adolescents who were out of school. Since the sample studied was obtained from both public and private schools, and since the education system coverage in Brazil is reported as almost universal, this limitation was attenuated.34

Longitudinal studies with adolescents that compare the three methods — office, home and ambulatory — and establish adequate normality criteria for different regions of the world are still required.

Conclusion
HBPM is an alternative option to confirm diagnosis of hypertension with results comparable to ABPM in adolescents from a Brazilian state capital with altered BP values.
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