Upgrading from VVI to DDD Pacing Mode During Elective Replacement of Pulse Generator. A Comparative Clinical-Functional Analysis
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Summary
Objective: Evaluate the clinical and functional behavior of the ventricular and atrioventricular stimulation modes in the elective replacement of pulse generator in patients with chagasic cardiopathy and atrioventricular block.

Methods: Twenty-seven patients under ventricular and atrioventricular stimulation were comparatively evaluated at the beginning of the study, and alternately in ventricular and atrioventricular modes in two 90-day phases, with regard to: the clinical behavior evaluated according to quality of life and functional class, and the functional behavior evaluated by transthoracic echocardiography and the six-minute walk test. The statistical analysis was performed with patients at baseline, and under ventricular and atrioventricular modes, using the chi-square test and the repeated measures analysis of variance, and taking into consideration a 0.05 level of significance.

Results: The mean quality-of-life scores were: functional capacity (VVI 71.3 +/-18.2 , DDD 69.3 +/-20.4); overall health status (VVI 68.1 +/-21.8, DDD 69.4 +/-19.4) and vitality (VVI 64.8 +/-24.6, DDD 67.6 +/-25.5); on echocardiography: LVEF (VVI 52.5 +/-12.8 , DDD 51.8 +/-14.9), LVDD (VVI 53.0 +/-7.7 , DDD 42.4 +/-7.8), LA (VVI 38.6 +/-5.4 DDD 38.5 +/-5.1), and in the six-minute walk test: distance walked (VVI 463.4 +/-84.7, DDD 462.6 +/-63.4). There were four cases of complications, three of them associated with the change in stimulation mode.

Conclusion: This study showed no differences between the two stimulation modes in the clinical behavior assessed by quality of life and functional class, and in the functional behavior, evaluated according to the echocardiographic findings and the six-minute walk test.
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Introduction
The sequential stimulation of the atria and the ventricles allows the restoration of atrioventricular synchrony, lost to the onset of conduction block and not recovered with the VVI stimulation mode. The potential hemodynamic advantages attributed to this stimulation mode, also known as physiological mode (DDD), are: greater ventricular filling aided by atrial systole, and physiological control of heart rate by the patient’s spontaneous “P” waves. For this reason, national and international guidelines have suggested that this mode of stimulation should be used as the initial pacemaker implantation due to its being theoretically more physiological than the ventricular pacemaker. This approach has been used in patients whose indication for pacemaker implantation is sinus node disease; however, it has not been applied to patients with atrioventricular blocks, as there is no evidence of benefits for this group of patients, mainly at the moment of elective replacement of the pulse generator.

The objective of this study was to find out whether the consequences and clinical-hemodynamic effects resulting from the change of the pacing mode, from ventricular to atrioventricular, during the elective replacement of pulse generators in patients with chagasic cardiopathy and atrioventricular block justify its routine use.

Methods
This is a controlled, prospective, randomized and double-blind study. Patients were informed as to the type of procedure, its risks and potential benefits. After having received all necessary information, they agreed to participate in the research protocol and were asked to sign the informed consent form.

Twenty-seven patients selected from September 8, 2001 to March 18, 2004 were enrolled in the study. Inclusion criteria were: patients with chagasic cardiopathy, above 18 and under 80 years of age, either gender and who had just one electrode implanted in the right ventricle for at least 24 months. Exclusion criteria were: patients with atrial fibrillation,
paroxysmal, persistent or permanent atrial flutter, symptoms suggestive of pacemaker syndrome, pregnancy, life-limiting disease or reduced life expectancy.

Patients’ age ranged from 29 to 79 years (mean 55.9 ± 12.7 and median 54 years). Fifteen patients were females and 12 males. Fourteen patients were white, 8 were black and 5 were mulattos.

Patients had had ventricular pacemakers implanted 3 to 30 years ago (mean 11 ± 6 years and median 10 years). In 13 patients, the procedure would replace the first stimulation system implanted; in 7 patients, it was the second pulse generator; in 3, it was the third device, and in 4 patients, it was the fourth pacemaker. All patients were in sinus rhythm with complete block of the atrioventricular conduction, detected by the test of reduction of the pacemaker’s stimulation frequency.

Study design - After selection and clinical-functional evaluation at baseline, patients underwent the surgical procedure: implantation of an atrial electrode lead and replacement of the single-chamber pulse generator for a dual-chamber one. Next, the pulse generator was programmed to a randomly selected stimulation mode.

In condition A, after the procedure patients remained in ventricular stimulation mode during 90 days (phase I), and were later reprogrammed to atrioventricular mode and remained in this mode for another 90 days (phase II). Under condition B, after the procedure patients were programmed to atrioventricular stimulation mode during 90 days (phase I), and were later reprogrammed to ventricular mode and remained in this mode for another 90 days (phase II) (Fig. 1).

Pacemaker programmation - VVI mode: The frequency of stimulation was set at 70 bpm, and, as patients had advanced atrioventricular block, AV synchrony never occurred. DDD mode: The minimum frequency of stimulation was set at 70 bpm, with no response to the frequency, whereas the maximum frequency was calculated based on 80% of the maximum rate for the age. The AV interval was not individualized and was set at 120 msec after a spontaneous “p” wave, and at 180 msec after a stimulated “p” wave. The atrial sensitivity was set at 0.5mV and the ventricular, at 2.5mV. At the end of the study, all patients had their pacemakers reprogrammed to atrioventricular mode.

Quality of life - The instrument for measuring quality of life in this study was the Medical Outcomes Study SF-36 Health Survey protocol. The answers to the questionnaire were evaluated using a database (specific software) which scored quality of life dimensions according to a scale (Raw Scale) that ranges from 0 (worst health status) to 100 (best health status).

Transthoracic echocardiogram - Transthoracic echocardiograms were performed during the clinical evaluations with patients at rest. The echocardiographist was asked to exclude the monitorization of the electrocardiogram during the exam so as to avoid identification of the programmation mode. Conventional slice images were obtained to determine the final diastolic and systolic diameters of the left ventricle, the size of the left atrium and to calculate the ejection fraction by the Teicholz method.

Six-minute walk test - The six-minute walk test was used to assess the functional capacity of the patients; the distance walked was the marker for the clinical condition. The heart rate was measured before and after the test, and also the distance the patient had walked during six minutes.

Statistical analysis - The statistical analysis of the effects of changing the stimulation mode was performed at baseline condition (pre), VVI and DDD modes. The quantitative variables were compared using the repeated measures analysis of variance. When the values were significant, a complementary contrast test was performed to determine the differences (p<0.05 values were considered as statistically significant).

Results

All surgical procedures were successfully performed and no deaths occurred during the period of the study. The atrial lead was inserted by puncture of the subclavian vein in 25 patients (92.5%), and of the internal jugular vein in two patients (7.5%). Electrode impedance measurements were performed, as well as R and P wave sensitivity and thresholds of atrial and ventricular stimulation at unipolar and bipolar conditions (Tab. 1).
The complications observed were: Displacement of the atrial electrode, 1 case (3.7%); Atrial tachycardia, 1 case (3.7%); Atrial flutter, 1 case (3.7%); Hematoma in the pacemaker implantation site, 1 case (3.7%).

The displacement of the atrial electrode was corrected surgically and repositioned three months after the implantation, after which the patient restarted the study protocol. The atrial tachycardia occurred during the procedure and the atrial flutter, two weeks later. Both arrhythmias were treated by rapid atrial stimulation. The hematoma occurred during the immediate post-operative period (24 hours) and was caused by subcutaneous heparin prophylaxis. The patient was treated by simply interrupting the heparin therapy and clinical follow-up.

Clinical evaluation - There was no difference in the number of patients stratified according to NYHA functional classes at pre-evaluation (baseline), and VVI and DDD conditions (p=0.334) (Fig. 2).

Echocardiographic findings - The ejection fraction means (Teicholz) (p=0.216), LVDD (p=0.095) and LA (p=0.290) measured at the beginning of the study (baseline), at the end of VVI pacing mode and at the end of DDD pacing mode did not show any difference (Tab. 2).

Six-minute walk test - The distance walked during the six minutes at baseline conditions, in ventricular stimulation mode and DDD stimulation mode showed no significant differences between the means of both modes (p=0.945); however,
the distance walked under the two modes of stimulation was significantly higher than the distance walked at baseline condition (p=0.0006) (Tab. 3).

The mean initial heart rate did not show any statistical difference between the two modes of stimulation; however, the mean heart rate at baseline was significantly lower than at VVI and DDD phases. The heart rate at the end of DDD mode did not show any relevant increase; however the mean was higher relative to the baseline condition and to the VVI mode.

Quality of life - Quality of life evaluated as per the functional capacity (p=0.489), overall health status (p=0.546) and vitality (p=0.593) did not show any significant difference in the mean of these dimensions under any condition of the study (Fig. 3, Tab. 4).

Discussion

The use of an artificial pacemaker for the treatment of atrioventricular block in patients with chagasic cardiopathy is well-established; however, the decision about the most suitable mode of stimulation deserves to be further discussed.

There are several studies in medical literature comparing the effects of VVI and DDD stimulation modes in non-chagasic patients\(^6\)\(^-\)\(^10\). However, the chagasic cardiopathy has its own clinical and electrophysiological characteristics that affect the autonomic function and that distinguish it from other pathologies\(^11\),\(^12\).

In this study, the analysis of stimulation frequency in our patients under DDD programmation, without activation of the frequency response sensor, showed a slight chronotropic variation in the six-minute walk test. Therefore, it can be concluded that the comparative findings between the VVI pacing (with no rate-adaptive sensors) and the DDD programmations were consequences of the restored atrioventricular synchrony.

There is much controversy over the central theme in this study, since the change in the mode of stimulation during elective pulse generator replacement aimed at obtaining a better hemodynamic function not always translates into clinical benefit for the patient. The upgrading from VVI to DDD stimulation mode was first proposed in 1992, by Sulke et al, in a randomized study with 16 asymptomatic patients, who had had VVI pacemakers implanted for more than 3 years due to sinus node disease and atrioventricular block. The DDD mode improved the patients’ physical capacity and well-being (subjective evaluation), but it was not different from an echocardiographic point-of-view\(^11\).

In 1998, Hildick-Smith et al conducted a retrospective evaluation of 44 cases of ventricular pacemaker upgrading and observed that the change had been of benefit to the symptomatic patients only (the majority of the subjects enrolled in the study), but the 45% rate of complications was considered too high\(^14\). Gribbin et al, by evaluating their experience also observed an overly high rate (36%)\(^15\), and therefore concluded that the change of the stimulation mode during the elective

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pre (baseline)</th>
<th>VVI</th>
<th>DDD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HR (initial)</td>
<td>66.3</td>
<td>73.1</td>
<td>75.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR (final)</td>
<td>69.3</td>
<td>463.4</td>
<td>77.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance (meters)</td>
<td>407.5</td>
<td>70.6</td>
<td>462.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR (initial)</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR (final)</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>84.7</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance (meters)</td>
<td>84.3</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>63.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>56.0</td>
<td>64.0</td>
<td>64.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>74.0</td>
<td>88.0</td>
<td>85.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DDD: patient status after 90-day atrioventricular stimulation; HR: heart rate; PRE: patient status under exclusive ventricular stimulation at study selection; VVI: patient status after 90-day ventricular stimulation.

Table 3 - Data about the six-minute walk test under baseline, VVI and DDD conditions
replacement of pulse generator should be performed only for very well-defined reasons. In our study, complications were much less serious, perhaps due to the fact that, differently from retrospective studies, the prospective approach allows to pre-select the examiners and yields better results.

The complications relative to DDD pacemaker are more common than with the VVI devices, and are mainly due to the atrial electrode lead as reported by the UKPACE study16. In our study, among the four surgical complications observed, three were relative to the change in the stimulation mode. Both the displacement of the atrial electrode lead and the pacemaker-mediated atrial tachyarrhythmias are strictly inherent to the system of atrioventricular stimulation.

Recently, Hoijer et al published the findings about 19 patients who underwent the change from VVI mode to atrioventricular after randomization and showed that most patients preferred the DDDR type, which also improved their quality of life and cardiac function17. From our point of view, however, this study inadequately included patients with pacemaker syndrome, which means a selection bias that influences the results in any comparative evaluation of stimulation modes. This effect was observed in the MOST study which reported improved quality of life under atrioventricular mode absolutely indicated when the underlying heart disease.

Most patients with pacemakers do not usually engage in very intense activities in their daily lives, and this is why the evaluation of quality of life better conveys the day-to-day routine of this group of patients. Quality of life assessed according to functional capacity, overall health status and vitality certainly yielded reliable findings, since they set the correlations consistent with those patients who experience good clinical progression.

Using the same quality of life protocol, other authors, such as Martinelli et al in 2001, and Newman et al in 2003, also compared the clinical-functional behavior under ventricular and atrioventricular stimulation modes and obtained results similar to ours, with no difference observed between the two pacing modes19,20.

The measurements obtained separately under situations A and B were compared for all parameters used in this study, in order to eliminate the tendency towards the first stimulation mode programmed, and the results were similar. The same thing occurred in the comparison of patients with ejection fractions above and below, or equal to 40%, and who had had the pacemaker implanted for more or less than 10 years. The statistical similarity in the comparative sub-analyses of the conditions that could influence the outcomes provided more consistency to the results.

Therefore, this study showed that for patients with chagasic cardiopathy, atrioventricular block and VVI pacemaker, who are clinically well and with no signs of chronic heart failure, the implantation of an additional atrial electrode lead to upgrade the mode of stimulation, besides not being risk-free, did not provide clinical-functional changes in a short-time follow-up. It is worth to point out that the change from ventricular to atrioventricular stimulation mode is absolutely indicated when there is intolerance to the ventricular mode, which was not the case in this study.
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