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Abstract
Heart failure with normal ejection fraction (HFNEF) is a complex syndrome that has been broadly studied since the last decade. It is caused by diastolic ventricular dysfunction demonstrated by complementary methods, such as hemodynamic study or echocardiogram, in the presence of a normal ejection fraction (EF). It affects primarily elderly individuals with comorbidities, such as systemic arterial hypertension, coronary failure and obesity. The physiopathological mechanisms are complex and multifactorial, involving the myocardial passive stiffness, the ventricular geometry, the pericardial restraint and the interaction between the ventricles. The main objectives of the treatment were to decrease the pulmonary venous congestion and the heart rate and control the comorbidities. There is no strong evidence that the use of specific medications, such as the angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors or beta-blockers can influence mortality. The poorer prognostic factors include advanced age, presence of kidney dysfunction, diabetes, functional class III and IV (NYHA) and advanced-stage diastolic dysfunction, with a restrictive pattern of ventricular filling.

Another aspect that has been increasingly cited in the literature is the analysis of the role of the systolic function in HFNEF cases. All these aspects are analyzed in detail in the present review.

Introduction
Heart failure (HF) is a complex syndrome characterized by intolerance to physical exertion, fluid retention and congestive phenomena, which after symptom onset, presents high rates of morbimortality.

Its prevalence is increasing worldwide and it is estimated that there will be 5 million cases of HF in Brazil by the year 2025\(^1\). The accumulated risk of developing HF during a decade is higher in the elderly population than in younger individuals\(^2\). Therefore, in elderly individuals with classic signs or symptoms of HF, the intolerance to physical exercises is frequently due to the increased pressure in the left atrium, although the EF remains within the normal limits.

This condition corresponds to diastolic heart failure (DHF). This entity has been the subject of discussion in the last decade and several concepts have been updated, justifying the present review.

Terminology
There is a controversy in the literature regarding the most adequate terminology for this clinical condition: “diastolic heart failure” or “HF with normal ejection fraction”. Those who defend the term “HF with preserved systolic function” assert that this more descriptive form prevents confusion or a misdiagnosis, considering that the diastolic dysfunction is present in almost all cases of HF\(^3\).

The authors that defend the term “diastolic dysfunction” assert that, by specifying the term “diastolic”, it is implicit that a significant systolic dysfunction is excluded and that the congestive phenomenon depends on the diastolic dysfunction (DD). They also state that the term “heart failure with preserved systolic function” does not allow the differentiation from cases with mitral valvulopathy with normal EF and that the HF is not due to the diastolic dysfunction\(^4\).

Currently, several authors have substituted the term “preserved systolic function” by “normal EF”, understanding that patients with diastolic HF can present some degree of systolic dysfunction. In the literature, these terms are used as synonyms. This review will use preferentially the term “HF with normal EF”, or HFNEF, as it is more descriptive.

Historical aspects and concepts
According to Roelandt, the first association between myocardial relaxation and ventricular function was described in 1923 by Yendell Handerson, who affirmed that the myocardial relaxation was as important as its contraction\(^5\). He exemplified that an elderly patient, with delayed myocardial relaxation, could present intolerance to physical exertion. Therefore, what would later be described as heart failure with normal ejection fraction (HFNEF) had just been described.

However, the concept only received attention in the 60s\(^6\) and the in the following decade, with studies associating myocardial ischemia with left ventricular (LV) diastolic dysfunction\(^7,8\).

In 1984, Brutsaert described his theory on the triple control of myocardial relaxation\(^9\), which would depend on pre-load,
on muscle inactivation and the uniformity of the inactivation in time and space.

Gaasch defined the term “diastolic dysfunction” in 1994, as the “inability of the heart to accommodate the blood volume during diastole at low pressures; the ventricular filling is delayed or incomplete and the atrial pressure increases, causing pulmonary or systemic congestion”\(^{10}\). Ten years later, in 2004, the same author\(^{11}\) redefined the entity, writing: “The diastolic dysfunction can occur regardless of whether the EF is normal or abnormal. If the patient with normal EF develops intolerance to physical exertion, dyspnea and congestion, it is necessary to use the term “Diastolic Heart Failure”.

Researchers have asserted that DHF would really be the clinical manifestation of a transient systolic dysfunction, secondary to ischemia or hypertension. To refute this assertion, Baiocchi and cols. evaluated patients with a diagnosis of DHF and individuals without ventricular dysfunction through LV manometry associated with the calculation of the ventricular volume\(^{12}\). All indices of global systolic function and myocardial contractility were preserved in patients with DHF. The authors concluded that, even in the presence of slight alterations in the systolic function, the term DHF is applicable, considering the evident contribution of diastolic dysfunction in the HF condition.

**Epidemiology**

Among patients with the clinical HF syndrome, the prevalence of HFNEF varies broadly in the different studies, from 40% to 71%, with a mean of 56%\(^{13}\). This variation is probably due to the definition adopted for the term “preserved systolic function” and the characteristics of the studied population, such as age, ethnicity and prevalence of the female sex. For instance, two studies published 2000, one developed in the North-American population and another in the European population, used three categories of systolic function: preserved, slightly decreased and moderately or severely decreased. However, in the American study, the systolic function was considered preserved when EF \(\geq 0.50\) and corresponded to 24% of the patients\(^{14}\); in the European study the EF \(\geq 0.45\) was considered preserved and corresponded to 38% of the patients\(^{5}\). Another aspect is the fact that some studies grouped together patients with normal or slightly decreased EF\(^{16}\).

Regarding age, it is known that the prevalence of HFNEF is directly associated with this variable\(^4\). A population study including individuals older than 45 years described a prevalence of HF of 2.6%. Of these individuals, 41% presented EF \(> 0.50\)\(^{17}\). Similar results were found in subsequent publications\(^{18-20}\). In elderly populations aged 70 or older, the prevalence can reach 50%\(^{21}\). Finally, series of patients with HF and a predominance of the female sex can present rates of preserved EF with frequencies as high as 60%\(^{22}\).

**Physiopathology**

The ventricular filling in diastole is defined by the physical characteristics of the chamber, which establish the relations between pressure and volume. These physical characteristics are coordinated by the ventricular compliance that describes the ratio between a given variation in volume and its corresponding variation in pressure\(^{23-27}\). Although these concepts are applicable to both ventricles, the left ventricle (LV) will be used as reference to facilitate comprehension.

The compliance must be analyzed, considering a given ventricle, at the end of the diastolic filling, after the atrial contraction, when all active phenomena of diastole are, in theory, complete (Figure 1). At this exact moment of the cardiac cycle, if the ventricle operates under different loading conditions, the points of pressure-volume association show a characteristic curve in the Cartesian plane, typical of an exponential function.

The first clinical implication of this characteristic is that in a given ventricle containing little volume, significant additional increases in volume are tolerated, with no pressure variation. However, after a certain limit, small increases in volume would cause significant variations in diastolic pressure. That is, a same ventricle can present variable compliance, depending on the volume at which it operates\(^{25}\).

The second implication is that small ventricles hold lower volumes, whereas large ventricles can contain higher volumes at low pressure. Figure 2 shows the schematic diagram of the deviations of the curve of compliance in pathological situations. In concentric hypertrophy, for instance, there are more marked variations of pressure for a given variation of volume, even within the limits considered to be normal. In these cases, the patient shows a tendency to develop venous congestion, even though the EF is preserved. On the other hand, in dilated chambers, when the curve is shifted to the right, the diastolic pressure will reach high values only when there is excess volume. That is what happens in

\[\text{Figure 1 - Schematic representation of LV pressure-volume loops occurring in a hypothetical situation, in three different load conditions. The arrows indicate the points in the diagram that correspond to the end of the diastole. These points comprise the characteristic left ventricular compliance curve.}\]
systolic dysfunctions, when the residual volume in the cavity is increased\textsuperscript{23,27}.

The diastole comprehends four phases: isovolumetric relaxation, rapid ventricular filling, diastasis and atrial contraction. During the isovolumetric relaxation there is no ventricular filling. The phase of rapid filling goes from 70\% to 80\% of total ventricular filling in normal individuals and depends directly on the atrioventricular pressure gradient. The diastasis is the phase in which the atrial and ventricular pressures are practically the same and the mitral transvalvular flow corresponds to only 5\% of the total flow. The diastasis can be understood as the functional reserve that guarantees the ventricular filling, in spite of variations in heart rate. That is, in case of tachycardia, the period to be consumed would be the diastasis. Thus, within certain limits, the filling would not be impaired. The atrial contraction contributes with 15\% to 25\% of the diastolic volume and, in physiological situations, courses with the maintenance of the mean atrial pressure.

Within the physiological limits of heart rate variation and considering these four phases of diastole, the factors that affect ventricular compliance and are associated with HFNEF are: myocardial passive stiffness, ventricular geometry, pericardial restraint and interaction between the ventricles.

**Myocardial passive stiffness**

The myocardial passive stiffness also corresponds to a mechanical property and describes the necessary force to be applied to the muscle to produce a given deformation. Thus, according to this physical concept, the myocardium is an inert material, with a physical characteristic that depends intrinsically on its composition and not on the active process of relaxation\textsuperscript{27}.

However, biologically, the altered relaxation can increase the myocardial passive stiffness, as it is not completed. That is, at the end of the diastole, with the muscle still partially contracted, it behaves as a more rigid material. Another relevant aspect is the case of myocardial relaxation that shows only delay. In theory, this alteration should not impair the ventricular compliance, or cause HF. However, if there is tachycardia and diastasis suppression, the slower relaxation can behave as an incomplete relaxation and the ventricular filling will occur at elevated pressure, which can cause congestive phenomena. This is one of the explanations of why patients with HFNEF cannot tolerate increases in heart rate.

In brief, one can affirm that the myocardial passive stiffness depends on the relaxation and the muscle composition.

**Myocardial relaxation**

The myocardial relaxation requires energy expenditure and starts at the final phase of systolic ejection. This process influences mainly the initial phases of diastole. In normal hearts, even during physical exercise, the process is completed in this period. The release of catecholamines accelerates the relaxation of myocardial fibers, maintaining the low diastolic pressure, in spite of the tachycardia and the increased venous return.

It is the myocardial relaxation that generates the pressure gradient between the left atrium (LA) and the LV, without an additional increase in the left atrial pressure, preventing pulmonary congestion\textsuperscript{24}. The relaxation depends mainly on the ATP supply and the intracellular calcium homeostasis. The inadequate function of several proteins involved in calcium homeostasis can also deeply affect the relaxation process\textsuperscript{28}. In cases of delayed LV relaxation in which there is maintenance of the left atrial pressure, there is a decrease in the atrioventricular pressure gradient and the rapid filling time is prolonged. As a consequence, there is a decrease in the ventricular filling at the initial phase of diastole. At more advanced stages, when in addition to alterations in myocardial relaxation, there is a decrease in ventricular compliance, the pressures in the two chambers, atrium and ventricle, increase, and the patients can present some degree of intolerance to physical exertion. More severe alterations, such as incomplete relaxation and reduced compliance lead to the increase in diastolic pressure to the point of restricting the atrial emptying. In this condition, in general, there are signs that are typical of left HF, such as dyspnea on slight exertion or at rest.

**Myocardial composition**

The myocytes occupy around 70\% of the cardiac muscle. In addition to them, there are vessels, fibroblasts and a fibrillar collagen network, with a very complex structure. Although the collagen occupies only 4\%-6\% of the muscle volume, its high degree of passive stiffness, similar to that of steel, makes small variations in their concentration have significant effects on myocardial passive stiffness\textsuperscript{29}. In cardiac injuries, the mechanical factors lead to the secondary activation of biochemical factors (neurohormonal activation and release of pro-inflammatory cytokines). Among the several effects of these modifications are: the loss of myocytes, the production of tissue metalloprotease inhibitors.
by the endothelium and the production of collagen and collagenases by fibroblasts.

The interaction of these factors determines the concentration of collagen in the myocardial remodeling process.

Considering the myocardial composition, it is not only the collagen alteration that promotes the diastolic dysfunction. The myocardial hypertrophy is another important variable, which signalizes the evolution of the initial cardiac damage to heart failure and cardiovascular (CV) death. In cases of concentric hypertrophy, there is a decrease in the coronary reserve and increased risk of myocardial ischemia, regardless of the presence of coronary atherosclerotic disease, causing the aforementioned relaxation disorders. The change in the ventricular geometry itself can constitute an independent factor of compliance alteration and diastolic dysfunction.

### Ventricular geometry

The eccentric hypertrophy (sarcomere multiplication in series) causes the dilatation of ventricular chamber, resulting in higher tolerance to the volume that reaches the ventricles. In this case, the congestive phenomena only appear when the volume inside the chamber exceeds a certain limit. That is, the congestion and the diastolic dysfunction are secondary to the systolic dysfunction. It is important to understand that the dilated ventricle can behave as a compliant chamber, even though the myocardium presents an abnormal concentration of fibrosis and increased passive stiffness.

The chamber with a concentric geometry has a different behavior because the ventricle is more prone to diastolic dysfunction, regardless of the presence or absence of left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH). From the physical point of view, it is easy to understand that a small-radius chamber with thick walls presents higher resistance to stretching. However, the change in geometry is more complex and extrapolates the physical characteristic. The concentric geometry is associated with significant metabolic changes, functional activation and change in the gene-expression profile of the muscle. All these factors act synergistically to promote myocardial dysfunction.

### Pericardial restraint

The heart is contained inside a rigid structure consisting of collagen that is the pericardial sac. The pericardium has great capacity to adapt to situations of chronic ventricular dilatation, when there is an increase in compliance.

In contrast, in situations of acute dilatation of any of the ventricles, as in some cases of mitral regurgitation and cor pulmonale, the increased diastolic pressure and the occasional pulmonary congestion can present a component of the restraint force imposed by the pericardial sac.

### Interaction between the ventricles

Acute increases in the volume and pressure of one of the ventricles can affect the compliance of the other. However, this interaction is much attenuated in the absence of the pericardium.

### Clinical presentation and diagnosis

The clinical presentation is similar to that of systolic heart failure. It has been described that, in HFNEF, the establishment of dyspnea presents a more acute onset and that the response to the intervention is equally faster. In an European study that included hospitalized patients with HF, it was observed that the prevalence of HFNEF was 40%. This entity was associated significantly and independently with the female sex, advanced age and presence of atrial fibrillation (AF). The systolic heart failure was associated with more advanced functional class (NYHA), cardiomegaly, presence of third sound, acute pulmonary edema and left bundle-branch block. Chart 1 shows the differences between HFNEF and HF with decreased EF.

The HF secondary to DD is currently understood as the entity of comorbidities. Several studies have shown that patients with HFNEF present systemic arterial hypertension (SAH), myocardial ischemia, diabetes mellitus (DM), obesity and thyroid dysfunction. The association with intrinsic heart diseases is less frequent.

The indices capable of directly quantifying the diastolic function are obtained through invasive procedures. The first one is the tau constant, a broadly used measurement of the rate of LV relaxation. The second direct indicator is the measurement of the ventricular compliance, which requires the simultaneous recording of the pressure and volume of the chamber. The less compliant ventricle is the one that

### Chart 1 - Main characteristics of HFNEF and HF with decreased EF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Normal ejection fraction</th>
<th>Decreased ejection fraction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Epidemiology</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female sex</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age &gt; 65 years</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Symptoms</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyspnea</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angina</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute pulmonary edema</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Signs</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third sound</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fourth sound</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signs of hypervolemia</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arterial hypertension</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atrial fibrillation</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Echocardiogram</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ejection fraction &lt; 45%-50%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BNP</td>
<td>&gt; 1,000 pg/ml</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400 - 1,000 pg/ml</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

presents a higher increase in pressure for a given volume variation. It is clear that neither index can be used routinely in clinical practice.

The available markers in clinical practice reflect, in fact, a set of variables that indirectly evaluate the diastolic function. Although the most often used indicators for the assessment of diastolic dysfunction are obtained through the echocardiogram, the diagnosis must follow the recommendations of the Brazilian Society of Cardiology for the diagnosis of HF of any etiology. That is, when there is a clinical suspicion of HF, it is necessary to carry out an electrocardiogram, chest X-ray, and, if possible, the BNP measurement. If these laboratory tests show alterations, the echocardiogram is then performed, which will confirm or rule out the diagnosis of HFNEF. It is important to remember that, due to the easy applicability of the examination, the chest X-ray, although not specific, can help to clarify the diagnosis suspicion. That is, when assessing a case of HF, the presence of cardiomegaly increases the chance of systolic dysfunction. On the other hand, if the cardiac area is normal, the probability of HFNEF increases.

The echocardiogram is more accurate and allows the diagnosis of structural alterations, such as hypertrophy and areas of hypokinesis, frequently associated with diastolic dysfunction. The hypokinesis is strongly suggestive of myocardial ischemia, with the deleterious effects on relaxation explaining the association. Moreover, the pulsed Doppler allows the analysis of the transmitral diastolic flow, which originates the primary function indices and the stratification of the dysfunction severity.

As described before, this flow depends on the transvalvular pressure gradient. Under normal conditions, this gradient is maximal immediately after the opening of the mitral valve, considering the plenitude of the left atrium volume and the low intraventricular pressure, due to the myocardial relaxation and the small residual volume in the chamber. At this moment, the flow velocity is maximal, which corresponds to the E wave.

A period of reduced transvalvular gradient follows, with an almost null flow (diastasis). The atrial contraction generates a new gradient and flow, which corresponds to the A wave. The E/A ratio, one of the primary indices for the study of the diastole, remains 1 (Figure 3, A). When the myocardial relaxation is impaired, there is a decrease in the transvalvular gradient in the beginning of diastole, with a decrease in the E wave. The consequence is an impairment in atrial emptying; the atrial contraction is more vigorous and the A wave increases, decreasing the E/A ratio (Figure 3, B). This flow pattern is characteristic of mild or grade I DD. When there is additional functional impairment, the pressure in the left atrium increases, rebuilding the transvalvular pressure gradients during diastole, although at higher pressure levels and in the presence of symptoms of intolerance to physical exertion. At this stage, the DD is grade II, or moderate (Figure 3, C).

In patients in whom the LV diastolic pressure is high enough to restrict the transvalvular flow during the atrial contraction, the elevated LA pressure allows only an initial high-velocity and short-duration diastolic flow, whereas the flow velocity at the end of diastole being markedly decreased (Figure 3, D). This pattern, called restrictive or grade III pattern, is severe and causes intolerance to slight physical exertion. When the Valsalva maneuver does not bring relief to this alteration,
the DD grade IV is defined, which is also severe. The other two primary indicators derived from the pulsed Doppler are the time of deceleration of the E wave and the time of isovolumetric relaxation.

The analysis of the flow in the pulmonary veins through the pulsed Doppler allows the indirect assessment of the atrial pressure, which, in the absence of mitral valvulopathy, corresponds to the LV diastolic pressure. In brief, the normal LA pressures allow a constant flow from the pulmonary veins, except during the atrial contraction, when there is a small reverse flow. When this pattern is altered, it is possible to diagnose diastolic dysfunction.

More recently, other important indices have been included in the assessment of the diastolic function, such as the measurement of the mitral annular tissue velocity (tissue Doppler) and the estimate of LA volume and emptying fraction. Currently, these variables, together with the assessment of ventricular volume and myocardial mass, are considered fundamental for the definition of the diagnosis and stratification of the severity of HFNEF. It is noteworthy that the European, American and Brazilian recommendations on this topic have incorporated the physiological alterations inherent to aging in the values of the primary indices and those derived from tissue Doppler imaging. Thus, the accuracy of method to identify higher-risk individuals will be improved.

A relevant aspect to be considered by the clinician that treats patients with HFNEF is the lability of several indices of diastolic function in relation to physical activity. When the examinations are carried out with the patient at rest, there can be a discrepancy between the intensity of the exertional dyspnea and the result of the stratification of the degree of DD. In these cases, the echocardiographic assessment can be carried out immediately after the physical exertion.

The detailed and technical discussion on these indicators is more attractive for the specialist in echocardiography and is not the focus of the present review. However, it is necessary to reinforce the fact that the reliability of the noninvasive indices, especially the flow velocity/mitral annular tissue velocity ratio, to estimate the LV filling pressure remains debatable in the literature.

The definitive diagnosis of DD requires three criteria, according to the current concept: two major ones and a confirmatory one. The unequivocal evidence of DD and the objective evidence of preserved systolic function (EF > 0.50 during the 72 hours of the acute stage of HF) constitute major criteria. The objective evidence of DHF constitutes a confirmatory criterion. The objective assessment of the DD could be obtained through the echocardiogram, according to Paulus et al, or from an invasive direct measurement, according to Vasan and Levy.

In 2001, Zile et al evaluated, through cardiac catheterism, the diastolic function of patients with DHF and verified that all of them presented DD. Zile et al questioned the need for this third criterion. In 2005, Yturralde and Gaasch proposed that the two first criteria were considered major ones, as long as the LV size was normal and that the third criterion should be considered only confirmatory, associated to the presence of LVH and increased LA, in the absence of mitral valvulopathy and AF.

In fact, these indirect signs are being considered more important as DD markers. Pritchett et al used the values of the LA volume in more than 2,000 individuals, aged ≥ 45 years, included in the study of the County of Olmsted and observed that this variable had a prognostic value in the prediction of 5-year mortality. Lester and cols. defended the position that the LA volume is for DD as glycated hemoglobin is for DM.

However, there can be many difficulties in the diagnosis, such as the impossibility to obtain the echocardiogram or unspecific symptoms and little evident signs. The patients often have associated pulmonary disease or are obese; they are elderly individuals with several comorbidities or communication deficit. In conclusion, there are many clinical circumstances that can prevent the direct association between a complaint of physical limitation or dyspnea with a diagnosis of HF.

In these cases, a laboratory marker that can be useful is the plasma level of BNP (brain natriuretic factor). This natriuretic peptide is stored in the ventricular myocardium, being released when the wall undergoes stretching. It is less influenced by the atrial pressure variations and thus, reflects better the ventricular dysfunction than the atrial natriuretic peptide.

Many studies have shown the usefulness of BNP as a diagnostic and prognostic marker in systolic HF. In diastolic HF, the evidence is not so strong, but they have started to appear. The BNP level with the highest accuracy to detect diastolic dysfunction is 60-90 pg/mL. Additionally, the more severe the dysfunction, the higher the BNP level, which further increases in the presence of clinical signs of HF. The current consensus understands that the BNP level is even more important to rule out the cardiac origin of patients treated for apnea at rest.

**Treatment**

Few studies have assessed the clinical outcomes in patients with DHF that were treated with different classes of drugs. And the fact is that none of them showed a change in the natural history of the syndrome.

The first large and well-known study was the CHARM-preserved, published in 2003 and aimed at evaluating the effect of candesartan in 3,025 patients with HF and EF > 40%. The study population consisted mainly of male individuals and was at an age range that was younger than the one that most often presents DHF. There was no decrease in mortality in this study, although the number of hospitalizations due to HF was significantly lower.

The PEP-CHF study included older patients, aged 70 years and older and with EF > 45% and failed to demonstrate a decrease in mortality with the prolonged use of perindopril. Other studies did not show relevant effects of the use of nebivolol and digoxin. Recently, the I PRESERVED study, including patients aged 60 years and older with EF > 60%, demonstrated that the use of ibesartan did not decrease the risk of the compound outcome death and hospitalization due to cardiovascular cause. The TOPCAT study, predicted to
Treatment with digitalis to minimize symptoms of HFNEF

In this context, currently the main objectives in the treatment of HFNEF are: to control symptoms by reducing the venous congestion and heart rate. The rhythm control is also considered valid in selected patients, either by electrical and/or chemical cardioversion or sequential pacemaker.

Other objectives to be attained are the control of comorbidities associated with DD, such as the treatment of myocardial ischemia and SAH, prevention and reversal of LV hypertrophy, attenuation or prevention of myocardial fibrosis.

It is necessary to remember that these patients with DD are typically elderly individuals with a normal-sized ventricular cavity. Therefore, drugs such as diuretics and vasodilators can have a disproportional effect on BP. The specific care measures include the monitoring of the BP, kidney function and signs of brain hypoflow. They also include the stimulation to treatment adherence and changes in lifestyle (food ingestion, physical activity and smoking, mainly), considering that these patients are medicated simultaneously with several classes of drugs.

In elderly patients, the conduct must follow some special criteria. It is advisable to start with a certain drug class and gradually add other classes, always one at a time. There is no evidence that the target-dose of each class must be reached, as it occurs in systolic HF. Therefore, it is recommended:

- Start diuretics and beta-blockers with caution;
- If the HF persists, add an angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor (ACEI) or angiotensin-receptor blocker (ARB), if there is intolerance to ACEI;
- Add isosorbid dinitrate and hydralazine, if necessary;
- Add calcium-channel antagonists, if necessary;
- Avoid the use of digitalis, in the absence of AF.

Chart 2 summarizes the main therapeutic options and respective levels of evidence in cases of HFNEF according to the III Brazilian Guidelines on Chronic Heart Failure. Note that the levels of evidence for each therapeutic option are not yet high. Therefore, an individualized treatment is important for these patients.

**Prognosis**

A study with patients with HFNEF showed that 1-year, 3-year and 5-year survival was, respectively, 84%, 67% and 51%. In another study the impact of the severity of DD was assessed in 2,042 adults aged > 45 years, with 95% of them being asymptomatic. It was observed that mortality was significantly higher in those with an echocardiogram result of DD grades II and III.

These results were similar to the ones described by Pritchett et al. Moreover, these authors observed that the LA volume presented a prognostic value similar to that of DD severity. In patients with chronic coronary failure, the 3-year cardiovascular mortality was significantly higher (6%) in cases with moderate or severe DD, when compared with mild dysfunction (3.6%) or normal diastole (1.1%).

Jones et al. evaluated the 3-year mortality of a cohort of almost 1,000 patients with HFNEF and EF > 0.45, who participated in the DIG clinical trial. Among the 18 analyzed variables, the ones that associated with death were: glomerular filtration, NYHA functional class, male sex, advanced age, cardiothoracic index, presence of DM, the need for vasodilators or diuretics. Considering these variables, the patients were assigned risk scores and those with the highest scores (75th quartile) presented a mortality rate of 50% in the period. Therefore, the patient with HFNEF needs to be assessed through an extensive set of clinical and laboratory variables, which can extrapolate the definition of the degree of dysfunction or of other echocardiographic variables.

**Perspectives**

Finally, an aspect that is once more being discussed in the literature is the question regarding the role of systolic dysfunction in HFNEF. In the study by Yu e cols., that included normal patients and patients with DD or systolic HF, it was demonstrated that the systolic function index assessed by tissue Doppler progressively decreased as the diastolic dysfunction degree worsened. This result suggests that patients with...
DD also have systolic dysfunction, albeit without clinical manifestations or with preserved EF.

Another study that used the analysis of ventricular function through the strain rate, identified a direct association between the peak contraction and peak relaxation in normal individuals or with different cardiopathies, suggesting the interdependence of the two phases of the cardiac cycle.

A more recent study, by Yu et al, analyzed 56 patients with HFNEF and demonstrated that several echocardiographic indices of systolic function, obtained at rest, were significantly lower when compared to 27 healthy individuals. Furthermore, in the group with HFNEF, these indices did not increase at exercise. This study supports the hypothesis that HFNEF is not a disorder that involves diastole alone, even if the EF is preserved. It also supports the assertions by Lester et al: “Perhaps, in a near future, the systolic and diastolic functions will not be clinically interpreted as dichotomous terms. They will be simply understood as cardiac dysfunction.”
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